







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/577,790	05/24/2000	Antonio Moroni	498-206	4530		
/3	90 01/15/2002					
Hoffmann & Baron LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER		
6900 Jericho Tu Syosset, NY 1		·	PELLEGRIN	PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1	3738			
		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <del></del>		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/577,790		MORONI, ANTONIO	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Brian E Pellegrino		3738	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sl	heet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be tim um of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			•	,
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 i	May 2000 .	•	* * * *	•
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for form Ex parte Quayle, 19	nal matters, pr 935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to tl 53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
	on of Claims	•		•	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	<b>1.</b>		ra of lake the j	بينين والمناسبين
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $\underline{7}$ is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.	· <u>.</u>		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and the second of the	rongall.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.	Maja menganti, dalah	er for moyen co-origin
Applicati	on Papers	ini element		• •	ing di kacamatan di Kabatan di Ka Kabatan di Kabatan di K
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· •
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected	to by the Exa	miner. a migr	ರವಾ ಟ್ರೀಪಿಸ್ಕ
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)	•
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <mark></mark> approved	b) disappro	oved by the Exami	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	t	on.		
i	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• .		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
4,1	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receiv	red.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			ion No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				Il Stage
* 5	application from the International Be See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).		
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
a	)  The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	n has been red	ceived.	
Attachmen				e jir	
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (F	

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: a tubular prosthesis (Figs. 1, 3, 4, 5, 9-11).

Species II: a layer of fabric material (Fig. 2).

Subspecies A: Fig. 3A. Subspecies D: Fig. 4A.

Subspecies B: Fig. 3B. Subspecies E: Fig. 5A.

Subspecies C: Fig. 3C. Subspecies F: Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 8-10, 17, 18 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and subspecies that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species and subspecies which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37.

CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3738

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species and subspecies to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Daniel Scola on 1/8/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I and Subspecies A, claims 1-6, 8-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless =

Claims1-3, 5, 6, 8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kudo et al. (4331697). Kudo et al. disclose the use of a biomaterial for tubular devices such as vascular prostheses and shunts, col. 1, lines 24-30, col. 5, lines 38-46. Kudo discloses the material can be polyethylene naphthalate, col. 7, lines 51-55. With respect to claim 8, Kudo additionally discloses the use of a coating by placing a heparin derivative on the surface of the implant, col. 10, lines 1-3.

Art Unit: 3738

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

unpatentable over Kudo et al. in view of Schmitt (5443499). Kudo et al. is explained supra. However, Kudo does not disclose the construction of the polymer or the number of filaments used and the denier of the material with a stent. Schmitt teaches a braided tubular prosthesis for use in blood vessels, col. 2, lines 5, 6, 15,20. Schmitt also teaches a prosthesis that has 115 denier and 100 filaments that are partially oriented to be used for as graft material and can be attached with a stent, col. 7, lines 31-40. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the construction and application of graft material with a stent fixation device as taught by Schmitt for the graft of Kudo in order to effectively repair a collapsed blood vessel by using the combination stent-graft.

Claims 4, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo et al. '697 in view of Barone et al. (EP 461791). Kudo is explained supra.

However, Kudo et al. do not disclose the graft construction or use with a stent. Barone et al. teach graft materials for use in vascular bodies can be of woven construction, col. 8, lines 18-25. Barone also teaches the graft is to be used with a support structure in the form of a stent, col. 9, lines 4-28 and Figs. 4, 6. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3738

of ordinary skill in the art to use the construction and application of graft material with a support structure as taught by Barone et al. for the graft of Kudo in order to effectively

repair a collapsed blood vessel by using the combination stent-graft.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kudo et al. '697. Kudo is explained supra. However, Kudo does not explicitly recite how the entire process of obtaining the product is performed. Kudo discloses shaping the polymer, col. 8, lines 64-68. It should be noted that the process of how the product is obtained has not been given much patentable weight.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Pellegrino whose telephone number is (703) 306-5899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8am to 5:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-2708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Brian E. Pellegrino January 10, 2002

TC 3700, AU 3738

CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes." on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

# INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

# 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Drattsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application